BORDENTOWN SEWERAGE AUTHORITY MEETING MINUTES November 15, 2021

The meeting was called to order by Chairwoman, M. Ellen Gulbinsky, at 6:00 p.m. and led a salute to the flag in the meeting room of Carslake Community Center located at 207 Crosswicks Street, Bordentown, New Jersey.

In compliance with the Open Public Meetings Act, adequate notice of this meeting was provided in the following manner:

- a. Posting written notice on the Official Bulletin Board of the Bordentown Sewerage Authority on October 25, 2021,
- b. Mailing written notice to THE TIMES and BURLINGTON COUNTY TIMES on October 25, 2021; and
- c. Filing written notice with the Clerks of the City of Bordentown and Township of Bordentown and mailing written notice to all persons who requested and paid for same on October 25, 2021.

The following persons were in attendance: Board Members, M. Ellen Gulbinsky, James E. Lynch, Jr., Leonard J. de Groot, Joseph R. Malone, III, and Aneka Miller via telephone. Also, in attendance were: Executive Director Thomas M. Redwood, Administrative Manager Elizabeth J. Kwelty, the Authority's Solicitor Thomas Hastie, the Authority's Engineer Richard B. Czekanski, the Authority's Auditor Michael Holt, and the Authority's Labor Counsel Stephen Raymond, Jr. Absent was board member Heather Cheesman.

RATE HEARING

On motion by Lynch, seconded by de Groot, it was moved to adopt Resolution 2021-87 approving the proposed changes as amended during the testimony to the rate schedule effective January 1, 2022.

Testimony was presented and the transcript is attached to these minutes and made a part thereof.

Recorded vote:

Ayes:

Gulbinsky, Lynch, de Groot, Malone, Miller

Nays:

None

Abstained:

None

Absent:

Cheesman

On motion by de Groot, seconded by Miller, it was moved to approve the regular session minutes of the October 18, 2021 meeting as submitted.

There was no discussion on the minutes.

Recorded vote:

Ayes:

Gulbinsky, Lynch, de Groot, Malone, Miller

Nays:

None

Abstained:

None

Absent:

Cheesman

On motion by Lynch, seconded by de Groot, it was moved to adopt Resolution 2021-88, approving the November payment of bills from the Operating Fund in the amount of \$178,091.19 of which \$111,113.83 is a payroll transfer and \$8,283.99 is a capital expense.

No discussion on this Resolution.

Recorded vote:

Ayes:

Gulbinsky, Lynch, de Groot, Malone, Miller

Nays:

None None

Abstained:

Cheesman

Absent:

On motion by Miller, seconded by Malone, it was moved to adopt Resolution 2021-89, approving the payment of November bills from the Escrow Fund in the amount

of \$10,561.47.

No discussion on this Resolution.

Recorded vote:

Aves:

Gulbinsky, Lynch, de Groot, Malone, Miller

Nays:

None

Abstained:

None

Absent:

Cheesman

On motion by de Groot, seconded by Miller, it was moved to adopt Resolution 2021-90, approving credits and/or refunds to customer accounts in the amount of \$368.61.

No discussion on this Resolution.

Recorded vote:

Ayes:

Gulbinsky, Lynch, de Groot, Malone, Miller

Nays:

None

Abstained:

None

Absent:

Cheesman

I. AGENDA ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION:

A. BUDGET YEAR ENDING NOVEMBER 30, 2022:

BUDGET ADOPTION:

1) On motion by Malone, seconded by Lynch, it was moved to adopt Resolution 2021-91, adopting the Authority's approved 2021 budget (December 1, 2021 – November 30, 2022).

No Discussion on this Resolution

Recorded vote:

Ayes:

Gulbinsky, Lynch, de Groot, Malone, Miller

Nays:

None

Abstained:

None

Absent:

Cheesman

RENEWAL & REPLACEMENT FUND:

2) On motion by de Groot, seconded by Malone, it was moved to adopt Resolution 2021-92, authorizing an increase to the required balance of the Renewal & Replacement fund from \$650,000 to \$700,000 effective December 1, 2021.

No Discussion on this Resolution

Recorded vote:

Ayes:

Gulbinsky, Lynch, de Groot, Malone, Miller

Nays:

None

Abstained:

None

Absent:

Cheesman

B. <u>BUDGET YEAR ENDING NOVEMBER 30, 2021:</u>

1) On motion by Malone, seconded by de Groot, it was moved to adopt Resolution 2021-93, amending the Authority's 2020 Operating Budget (December 1, 2020 – November 30, 2021) adjusting shortages and overages within budget line items with no change to overall budget amount.

No Discussion on this Resolution

Recorded vote:

Ayes:

Gulbinsky, Lynch, de Groot, Malone, Miller

Nays:

None None

Abstained: Absent:

Cheesman

2) On motion by Malone, seconded by Lynch, it was moved to adopt Resolution 2021-94, amending the Authority's 2020 Capital Budget (December 1, 2020 – November 30, 2021) adjusting shortages and overages within budget line items with no change to overall budget amount.

No Discussion on this Resolution

Recorded vote:

Ayes:

Gulbinsky, Lynch, de Groot, Malone, Miller

Nays:

None

Abstained:

None

Absent:

Cheesman

C. CASH MANAGEMENT PLAN:

On motion by de Groot, seconded by Lynch, it was moved to adopt Resolution 2021-95, adopting the Bordentown Sewerage Authority's Cash Management Plan for fiscal year ending November 30, 2022.

No discussion on this Resolution.

Recorded vote:

Ayes:

Gulbinsky, Lynch, de Groot, Malone, Miller

Nays:

None

Abstained:

None

Absent:

Cheesman

D. PROGRESSIVE CAVITY PUMPS:

On motion by Miller, seconded by Lynch, it was moved to adopt Resolution 2021-96, authorizing the award of contract to Iron Horse Environmental in the amount of \$42,000 for Progressive Cavity Pumps to replace one primary sludge pump and one belt filter press feed pump.

No discussion on this Resolution.

Recorded vote:

Ayes:

Gulbinsky, Lynch, de Groot, Malone, Miller

Nays:

None

Abstained:

None

Absent:

Cheesman

E. COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT:

On motion by de Groot, seconded by Malone, it was moved to adopt Resolution 2021-97, authorizing a collective bargaining agreement between the Bordentown Sewerage Authority and Utility Workers of America AFL-CIO Local 601.

Mr. Lynch, Mrs. Gulbinsky, and Mr. Malone were present during the negotiation process. Mr. Lynch thought everyone did a nice job.

Mr. Raymond excused himself from the meeting at 6:33 pm.

Recorded vote:

Ayes:

Gulbinsky, Lynch, de Groot, Malone, Miller

Nays: Abstained: None None

Absent:

Cheesman

II. PROFESSIONAL REPORTS:

A. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR:

OPEN SEWER CONNECTION ACCOUNTS:

- 1.) Monthly development meeting with Township Construction and Planning Officers and City of Bordentown Water Utility officials took place November 12, 2021.
- 2.) Pomona Development Group S-1, S-2, and S-3 approval for CVS Pharmacy.
- 3.) Volunteers of America S-1 and S-2 approval for 66 residential units known as Gerard's Riverview to be constructed near Quick Chek at Bordentown Waterfront, in the open space between the train tracks and the Quick Chek.
- 4.) Escrow refund in the amount of \$1,726.00 to Bordentown Hospitality Associates LLC, for cancelled project known as Bordentown Hospitality Holiday Inn.
- 5.) Received notice that an application for waterfront development/coastal wetlands permit will be submitted to New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection for Gerard's Riverview.
- 6.) Notice of Public Hearing, Township of Bordentown Planning Board: Application for Use variance to allow construction of a privacy fence, 1 Frederick Court.

- 7.) Notice of Public Hearing, Township of Bordentown Planning Board: Application for Bulk variance to construct a garage addition, 345 Crescent Drive.
- 8.) Notice of Public Hearing, Township of Mansfield Joint Land Use Board: Application by Cox Automotive for minor site plan approval to permit construction of solar canopies. Property known as Manheim, corner of Rt 68 and Rt 206.

The Authority will be hiring one or two individuals in the coming months. The executive director spent 30 hours and the administrative staff spent 10 hours on developer projects. The staff will be providing changes to the Rules and Regulations for review. There were no questions regarding the monthly financial report.

Mr. Malone would like Mr. Redwood to be included for any meetings for projects that may impact the Sewerage Authority so the developer is aware the Sewer Authority needs to be involved. Mr. Malone would like Mr. Redwood to write a letter to the City and Planning Board requesting to be included on all meetings that may have any item pertaining to the sewerage authority.

B. <u>GENERAL COUNSEL:</u> No Report

140 Hopon

C. <u>ENGINEER:</u>

Mr. Czekanski's full report is attached to these minutes and made a part thereof. The Point Apartments are close to opening, CVS Pharmacy is submitting shop drawings, and Dunns Mill Industrial has submitted additional plans for review.

III. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION:

No one from the public was present.

IV. ADJOURNMENT OF MEETING:

On motion by Lynch, seconded by Malone, it was moved to adjourn the meeting at approximately 6:40 p.m.

Recorded vote:

Ayes:

Gulbinsky, Lynch, de Groot, Malone, Miller

Nays:

None

Abstained:

None

Absent:

Cheesman

Respectfully submitted,

APPROVED ON:

December 20, 2021

Joseph R. Malone, III, Secretary

1	BORDENTOWN SEWERAGE AUTHORITY
2	
3	
4	AUDIO RECORDING
5	
6	
7	
8	DATE: MONDAY, NOVEMBER 15, 2021
9	6:00 PM CARSLAKE COMMUNITY CENTER
10	
11	
12	
13	8
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	RENZI LEGAL RESOURCES
21	Court Reporting, Videography & Legal Services
22	2277 STATE HIGHWAY #33, SUITE 410
23	HAMILTON SQUARE, NEW JERSEY 08690
24	TEL: (609) 989-9199 TOLL FREE: (800) 368-7652
25	www.RLResources.com No. 409517

6 MR. HASTIE: Would you state your 1 2 professional qualifications? 3 MR. HOLT: I am a certified public accountant registered (inaudible) accountant. I'm a 4 partner with the firm Holt McNally & associates and our 6 expertise includes doing the audit work and consulting 7 for Sewerage Authorities, utilities of all nature, 8 municipalities and school districts. 9 MR. HASTIE: And how long have you been a 10 certified public accountant? MR. HOLT: Little over 30 years. 11 12 MR. HASTIE: And how long have you represented the Bordentown and Sewerage Authority in 13 14 that capacity? 15 MR. HOLT: If you count the acquisition of 16 Jack Valley's firm back in 2015, we've been here about 24 years. Used to be a partner with HFA, Home and 17 Friend Allison, and we split off in the beginning of 18 19 2020 to create Holt McNally Associate. So in total, 24 20 21 MR. HASTIE: Okay, And are you familiar 22 with the financial records of the Authority? 23 MR. HOLT: Yes, I am. 24 MR. HASTIE: Okay. Now we're gonna swear

in the executive director. Mr. Redwood, do you swear

25

24

25

I used the study period, 2020.

single family residences. There were 4,799 single 2 family residences in the study area. There was 270,997,000 gallons of residential water used in the study area during the study period. 4 5 Dividing that number by the number of 6 single family residences in the study area and by 365 days, I calculated that the average water usage for a 7 8 single family residents served by the Authority during 9 my study period was 155 gallons per day. 10 Once I had the number of gallons per day of usage by a single family residence, I was able to 11 determine the number of service units in the Authority 12 13 system. 14 I calculated the total gallons of water bill to all customers in the system during the same 15 study period was 408,886,000 gallons. This equals an 16 17 average of 1,120,236 gallons per day: 18 Dividing that number by the average single family usage of 155 gallons per day, I calculated that 19 there were 7,227 service units in the system based on 20 21 the statutory formula as at the end of the immediately 22 preceding year. 23 MR. HASTIE: Mr. Holt, did you three

compute capital base for the Bordentown Sewerage

Authority as at the end of the previous fiscal year?

7

24

25

9

21-115

8

```
to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the
 1
 2
    truth.
            MR, THOMAS M. REDWOOD: I do.
 3
 4
     THOMAS MREDWOOD, SWORN, WITNESS.
 5
            MR. HASTIE: Okay. And by whom are you
 6
     employed?
 7
            MR. REDWOOD: Bordentown Sewerage
 8
     Authority.
 q
            MR. HASTIE: In what capacity?
10
            MR. REDWOOD: Executive director.
            MR. HASTIE: I know I gave that away
11
12
    already. Are you familiar with the procedures for
13
    calculating fees under the statutes of the State of New
14
    Jersey?
15
            MR. REDWOOD: Yes, I am. And if illegal
    opinion or interpretation were necessary, I would
16
17
    consult with the attorney for the Authority.
18
            MR. HASTIE: And did you recompute the
    connection fees for the Bordentown Sewerage Authority
19
20
    as of the end of the previous fiscal year?
21
            MR. REDWOOD: Yes, I did. First, I
22
    determined the average daily water usage for a single
23
    family residence in the service area of the Authority.
```

My study area was comprised exclusively of

```
MR. HOLT: Yes, I did. The capital base
I
2
     for the Authority, I went in and did a calculation
     through November 30th 2020, and that amounted in
3
     $81,191,559. The capital base of the Authority was
5
     calculated in accordance with the statutory formula
6
     contained in NJSA 4014-22.
7
             MR. HASTIE: Thank you. Now Mr. Redwood,
8
     can you please continue with explaining the connection
9
     fee calculation?
10
             MR. REDWOOD: Yes. The connection fee for
    a residential dwelling unit is calculated by dividing
11
12
    the capital base, Mr. Holt provided, by the number of
13
    service units.
14
            The connection fee per residential
15
    dwelling unit came to $11,234. I then divided the
    connection fee per residential dwelling unit by the
16
    average number of gallons per day for a single family
17
    residence to determine the connection fee per gallon
18
19
    per day for non-residential customers.
20
            The connection fee per gallon per day for
21
    non-residential customers came $272 per gallon per day,
22
    with a minimum connection fee equal to the connection
23
    fee that is charged to residential users.
24
             Mathematically, the rate per gallon is the
    same for both residential and non-residential users.
```

10 12

Not all residential users will reach the average 2 residential usage, but they pay a connection fee as 3 though they had.

4 To be fair and consistent to charge a 5 non-residential customer, a minimum connection fee as though they had reached the average residential usage. 6 7 There is a special sewer connection fee for hotels and

8 motels.

Connection fee for hotels and motels is a 9 function of the number of rooms plus the amenities offered in a hotel or motel. The connection fee is 11 calculated by multiplying the number of guest rooms by the rate per guest room which is one half of the 13 14 residential rate.

15 However, any office space convention or 16 meeting rooms, restaurants, laundries, et cetera that 17 are on the premises are calculated separately at the non-residential rate per gallon of estimated usage. 18

19 MR. HASTIE: Thank you. Now, Mr. Redwood, 20 in your opinion, are you -- are the proposed revisions 21 to the connection fee rate schedule both necessary and 22 reasonable amount?

23 MR. REDWOOD: Yes. Revisions are 24 necessary since the statute requires the Authority to recalculate the connection fees at the end of each

the hotels and motels, if the Authority wishes to

2 extend all these extra amenities or (inaudible) goals,

which may or may not say it or maybe it's covered 3

already, but there is a development. 5 (Inaudible). There's a large meeting room

in the apartment building. So you see if there's

7 offices in there, and we're going to get a basement, 8 somebody's got offices and apartment buildings. The

manager, the apartment building and conference rooms.

10 So just bring it out.

11 CHAIR: Now, what do you think, members? THE SECRETARY: What -- I guess, what are 12 13 the ramifications? I mean, what do you suggest -- what 14 are you suggesting we do?

15 MR. CZEKANSKI: It's -- it says here that the -- the same conditions for the additional 16 17 connection fee also applies to apartment buildings if

18 there's extra amenities. 19 CHAIR: Okay. So we would change the

paragraph here. Okaya However, I mean the 20 21 (inaudible).

22 VICE CHAIR: They were -- they have to 23 specify that?

24 CHAIR: Mm-hm. 25

MR. CZEKANSKI: Plus that?

11

fiscal year.

8

2 Revisions are reasonable in amount since 3 the connection fees were calculated in accordance with 4 the statutory formula and are designed to have the 5 customer pays it's proportionate share of the sewerage 6 system in the manner required by law. 7

MR. HASTIE: Okay. And Mr. Redwood, is there anything else you'd like to add?

MR. REDWOOD: Yes. The Authority recalculates and reviews all rates in the rate schedule 10 11 each fiscal year. As part of this review process, user 12 rents are also reevaluated.

The Authority refunded the remaining long 13 14 term debt which resulted in a savings of \$160,000 for

15 fiscal year 2022, were approximately five percent of 16 the budgeted sewer rent revenue.

17 Due to these current financial conditions, 18 user rates will stay steady at the current amounts for

19 the coming year.

20 MR. HASTIE: And this concludes the 21 testimony of Mr. Holt and Mr. Redwood. Are there any 22 members of the public who wish to speak or ask any

23 questions? 24 MR. RICHARD B. CZEKANSKI: The only thing

I want to add is that (inaudible) that paragraph about

1 VICE CHAIR: You should specify that 2 (inaudible).

3 MR, CZEKANSKI: I -- it ends up that the point apartments up here which are (inaudible) the

5 apartments, because it was like no offices inside, but

6 we met the VOA and there were a lot of offices inside, 7 and so we charge for the lobby.

8 These offices and things, and now they're coming in with another application where there's like a 10 large meeting room in the apartment building which --

11 CHAIR: In the apartment building.

MR. CZEKANSKI: -- which doesn't make a 12 13 lot of sense. A community room?

14 CHAIR: Yeah:

15 MR. CZEKANSKI: But if there's no offices

16 like -- okay, the apartment people can use the 17 community room, but to say when you start getting

18 offices that it starts being a business where there --

19 you have apartment managers in certain office areas.

20 THE SECRETARY: Any (inaudible) use in the 21 apartment complex will be charged at non --

22 MR. CZEKANSKI: Just like it says a

23 non-residential rate.

24 CHAIR: Okay. What would we do? Would we

amend Mr. Redwood's statement, and I'm looking at the

13

16 14 like that. And it's more of a -- maybe not a long term -- the top of the paragraph, Page 5, where it says 1 "There's a special sewer connection favorite hotels, 2 type lease. But I don't know the lease issue, but I'm 2 3 motels" or shall we say apartments? 3 just saying what's the frequency of people come in and 4 MR. CZEKANSKI: That's what I think. 4 5 THE SECRETARY: Will the flow be any more CHAIR: And add the (inaudible) and 5 apartments? or less if there was an office in the apartment 6 6 7 MR. CZEKANSKI: For the complexes. 7 complex? 8 CHAIR: And apartments complexes? 8 MR. CZEKANSKI: No, it would be -- would 9 9 be more because -- it will be slightly more because you MR. CZEKANSKI: Well, the --10 CHAIR: Will that (inaudible) the have people permanently sitting there. Okay. (Inaudible). As you would, if you had a hotel and the 11 situation? 11 12 MR. HASTIE: I'm looking at your --12 clerk was behind the desk, because what we really have to amend is not the 13 CHAIR: What do you think, Mr. Hastie? 13 What would we (inaudible) to do -- to accomplish this? 14 testimony. 14 MR. HASTIE: Well, I'm not certain. It's 15 CHAIR: Right. 15 MR. HASTIE: We would have to amend the not (inaudible) then. 16 16 17 rate schedule. 17 CHAIR: Okay. 18 CHAIR: Okay. MR. HASTIE: You would just -- I mean, 18 MR. HASTIE: And what I'm looking at is Class 3, non-residential general minimum connection fee 19 19 last year's rate schedule connection fees 20 20 21 non-residential general. The per gallon per day rate 21 CHAIR: Okay. is \$72 and the non-residential minimum connection fee MR. HASTIE: -- up to -- because I don't 22 22 23 is 10,000 or 64. 23 think we have to specify apartments. 24 That -- we were taking this under and CHAIR: Okay. 24 wanting to amend, I would -- just I would have to go up 25 25 MR. HASTIE: The same way we're specifying 17 15 hotels. I think it's just the general thing that we to the new \$11,000 number. 1 MR. HOLT: Yeah, that's the new one, 2 would look to collect on or calculate. 2 CHAIR: Okay. 3 3 right? THE SECRETARY: Under the current 4 4 MR. HASTIE: Right. 5 MR. HOLT: That's with the revise, yeah. 5 circumstances --6 CHAIR: Okav. 6 MR. HASTIE: Yeah. 7 THE SECRETARY: What calculation is used 7 THE SECRETARY: -- will somebody has an 8 (inaudible) --8 for (inaudible)? MR. CZEKANSKI: It's usually -- most times 9 MR. HASTIE: (Interposing) Yeah. g 10 MR. CZEKANSKI: We charge a certain rate. 10 we don't get it. It's -- ideally, it would be the 11 (inaudible) chiefs number of people per 23 gallon 11 THE SECRETARY: Would we have an office 12 12 (inaudible) -building and 21 gallon per day per square foot? We're 13 THE SECRETARY: It is. It's part of the 14 complex and the people who live in the complex are 14 just thinking about the area. 15 using it. 15 MR. CZEKANSKI: So why wouldn't we do the MR. CZEKANSKI: Then I'm okay with that. 16 same thing for an office inside of an apartment? 16 THE SECRETARY: An apartment, yes, we 17 17 THE SECRETARY: Right. 18 would. 18 MR. CZEKANSKI: It's when it becomes more 19 MR. CZEKANSKI: Okay. of a business than --19 THE SECRETARY: Yes, we would. 20 20 THE SECRETARY: (Interposing) Around for MR. CZEKANSKI: So what are changing? 21 the (inaudible). 21 22 MR. CZEKANSKI: -- the offices. 22 MR. HASTIE: Actually, we're not because 23 the connection fee schedule that's in front of you 23 CHAIR: Right.

24

25

MR. CZEKANSKI: The offices or an

apartment building, a facility manager is there, things

24

to 11234.

shows Class 3, non-residential connection fee going up

20

say that under Class 2. You say that it's (inaudible) CHAIR: Yes. 1 Class 3B. I would just put like a number one or 2 MR. HASTIE: So I think the question is an 2 3 something next to apartments after the word apartment 3 interpretation of non-residential being applicable to 4 non-residential portions in apartment. 4 and a little note, number one that the connection fee for non-occupancy portion of the building is as applies 5 5 CHAIR: Not -- okay. Non-residential to Class 2 users. 6 portions of the apartment. 6 7 CHAIR: Okav. 7 MR. HASTIE: An apartment complex. 8 8 MR. CZEKANSKI: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Okay. 9 MR. HASTIE: The same way the calculation 9 MR. HASTIE: Instead of parenthetically 10 would be done at non-residential portions of a hotel. 10 suggesting, just a footnote to apartments. 11 CHAIR: Okay. 11 MR. CZEKANSKI: Yeah, I mean, under Class MR. CZEKANSKI: Let's say you -- under 12 12 2. You already talked about it. Class 1, it says, single family condominiums, 13 13 MR, HASTIE: I understand. I'm trying to -- in breaking these things down, single family 14 townhouses, apartments, multi family. So everything's 14 under Class 1 on this page here are grouped together, 15 condominiums, town homes and then we get to apartment 15 but below it when you talk about hotels, motels, that's multifamily and I'm trying to differentiate my mind 16 16 where all the exceptions come in for all the extra with the difference between apartments and multi 17 17 18 things. 18 (inaudible). 19 CHAIR: Mm-hm. MR. CZEKANSKI: (Inaudible). Yeah. Well, 19 MR. CZEKANSKI: That is -- and just for -it could be -- there could be another set of like condo 20 20 21 all literally saying this, I'm reinforcing this for --21 complexes like adding river gate that are different 22 CHAIR: Yes. kinds of building or different kinds of construction 22 23 MR. CZEKANSKI: -- for some next developer 23 that may or may apply also. who comes in and says, well, you didn't -- under Class 24 24 THE SECRETARY: I highly recommend that we 1, there shouldn't be any reason that you charge me 25 25 correct that.

18

19

4

12

extra because you distinguished on hotels and motels 1 and refused to charge me extra here, but not on the 2 3 CHAIR: So what would we put an E perhaps, 4 5 under Number 1, that would say and any -- that would 6

add under Section 1 and E provision? MR. HASTIE: I think if you wanted to clarify under Class 1 residential where it gives the description and then there's a parenthetical per unit.

CHAIR: Mm-hm.

7

8

9

10

MR. HASTIE: I would add another 11 parenthetical that says, plus non-residential uses 12 13 charge in accordance with Class 3.

14 CHAIR: Okay. And where are you gonna put 15 that? Under one?

MR. HASTIE: I would put it under Class 1. 16

17 CHAIR: Okay. MR. HASTIE: After per unit, I would add 18

19 another parenthetical -- parentheses and just say 20 additional --

21 MR. CZEKANSKI: (Interposing) Well, the 22 conditions that are applicable to Class 2 could result 23 in a Class 3 fee.

24 CHAIR: Yeah, okay.

25 MR. CZEKANSKI: Right. And actually, you

MR, CZEKANSKI: Is some -- that --1

THE SECRETARY: You gave us a problem and 2 3 now, you fixed it.

MR. CZEKANSKI: Yeah. I think -- I think

it could relate to apartments and multifamily that --5 6 that they should reference Class 2 because those seems

7 to be any -- anything that's multifamily like this --

8 all I can say is those two instances, but probably 9 applies to both of them.

10 MR. HASTIE: Yeah, if you footnote apartments, superscript one -- multifamily superscript one and then you put below it, superscript one and

essentially just take the language from the second

paragraph or the second sentence of Class 2 and put it 14 15 up there in the footnote. The connection fee for the

16 non-occupancy portion of this -- such buildings shall

be based upon estimated usage for the remainder of the 17

18 building including kitchens, restaurants, taverns, laundries, offices, convention meeting rooms, sports, 19

athletic facilities, common areas, restrooms at a Class 20

21 3 per gallon per day.

22 MR. CZEKANSKI: And I hate to say it. It also applies they're referenced age restricted in the 23

24 event you got a -- another complex here where there is

the age restricted that has offices and I'll say a bar

21

	22		24
1	area, a lobby area.	1	MS. KWELTY: Mr. Lynch?
2	MR. HASTIE: (Inaudible).	2	VICE CHAIR: Yes.
3	MR, CZEKANSKI: That they're running	3	MS. KWELTY: Mr. de Groot.
4	they're running a business out of the eight need	4	TREASURER: Yes.
5	restricted community.	5	MS. KWELTY: Mr. Malone.
6	MR. HASTIE: Okay.	6	THE SECRETARY: Yes.
7	CHAIR: Do you know which one of your	7	MS. KWELTY: Mrs. Miller?
8	do you know how you want to phrase this, Mr. Hastie?	8	ASSISTANT SECRETARY: Yeah.
9	MR. HASTIE: I would I would	9	CHAIR: Okay. Okay.
10	CHAIR: You should?	10	MR. HASTIE: Any other further additional
11	MR. HASTIE: Yeah. The apartments?	11	comments?
12	CHAIR: Yes.	12	MR. CZEKANSKI: Oh, could you put my name
13	MR. HASTIE: Multifamily age restricted.	13	next to the (inaudible)? (Inaudible).
14	CHAIR: Okay.	14	(Interposing).
15	MR. HASTIE: Language should mirror the	15	MR. HASTIE: So Madam Chair, seeing no one
16	second sentence in Class 2. So the connection fee for	16	(inaudible).
17	the non-occupancy portion of the building shall be	17	CHAIR: Okay.
18	based upon estimated usage.	18	MR. HASTIE: Seeing no one no one
19	For the remainder of the building	19	wishing to make any other statement or ask any other
20	including kitchens, restaurants, taverns, laundries,	20	questions, I'd like to make move forward to the next
21	offices, convention or meeting rooms, sport or athletic	21	part of the public hearing for adjustments to
22	facilities, common areas and restrooms at the Class 3	22	development, application review and inspection fees.
23	per gallon per day rate.	23	And for that, I would like to call Mr. Redwood again.
24	CHAIR: Okay.	24	Mr. Redwood, again let me remind you, you're still
25	MR. CZEKANSKI: All right.	25	under oath.
		-	
	23		2 -
	25		25
1	CHAIR: All right,	1	Can you explain the race to be adjusted
1 2		1 2	Can you explain the race to be adjusted for the developer application fee and inspection fee
_	CHAIR: All right.		Can you explain the race to be adjusted for the developer application fee and inspection fee schedule.
2	CHAIR: All right, MR. CZEKANSKI: (Inaudible).	2	Can you explain the race to be adjusted for the developer application fee and inspection fee schedule. MR. REDWOOD: The S1 application fee for
2	CHAIR: All right, MR. CZEKANSKI: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Do we need to do we need to	2 3	Can you explain the race to be adjusted for the developer application fee and inspection fee schedule. MR. REDWOOD: The S1 application fee for all applicants is proposed to be \$35. The S1 initial
2 3 4	CHAIR: All right. MR. CZEKANSKI: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Do we need to do we need to make a motion to pass that separately from the	2 3 4	Can you explain the race to be adjusted for the developer application fee and inspection fee schedule. MR. REDWOOD: The S1 application fee for all applicants is proposed to be \$35. The S1 initial review fees will establish the escrow amount minimum
2 3 4 5	CHAIR: All right. MR. CZEKANSKI: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Do we need to do we need to make a motion to pass that separately from the (inaudible)? THE SECRETARY: Make a motion that may have amend	2 3 4 5	Can you explain the race to be adjusted for the developer application fee and inspection fee schedule. MR. REDWOOD: The S1 application fee for all applicants is proposed to be \$35. The S1 initial review fees will establish the escrow amount minimum for the development as follows.
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIR: All right. MR. CZEKANSKI: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Do we need to — do we need to make a motion to pass that separately from the (inaudible)? THE SECRETARY: Make a motion that may	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Can you explain the race to be adjusted for the developer application fee and inspection fee schedule. MR. REDWOOD: The S1 application fee for all applicants is proposed to be \$35. The S1 initial review fees will establish the escrow amount minimum for the development as follows. For an existing sewer customers submitting
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIR: All right. MR. CZEKANSKI: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Do we need to do we need to make a motion to pass that separately from the (inaudible)? THE SECRETARY: Make a motion that may have amend	2 3 4 5 6 7	Can you explain the race to be adjusted for the developer application fee and inspection fee schedule. MR. REDWOOD: The S1 application fee for all applicants is proposed to be \$35. The S1 initial review fees will establish the escrow amount minimum for the development as follows. For an existing sewer customers submitting plans for change of use, a balance of \$2,000 will be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIR: All right. MR. CZEKANSKI: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Do we need to do we need to make a motion to pass that separately from the (inaudible)? THE SECRETARY: Make a motion that may have amend MR. HASTIE: We amend that rate schedule	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Can you explain the race to be adjusted for the developer application fee and inspection fee schedule. MR. REDWOOD: The S1 application fee for all applicants is proposed to be \$35. The S1 initial review fees will establish the escrow amount minimum for the development as follows. For an existing sewer customers submitting plans for change of use, a balance of \$2,000 will be required. For a single family dwelling connection, a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIR: All right. MR. CZEKANSKI: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Do we need to — do we need to make a motion to pass that separately from the (inaudible)? THE SECRETARY: Make a motion that may have amend — MR. HASTIE: We amend that rate schedule to reflect that line.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Can you explain the race to be adjusted for the developer application fee and inspection fee schedule. MR. REDWOOD: The S1 application fee for all applicants is proposed to be \$35. The S1 initial review fees will establish the escrow amount minimum for the development as follows. For an existing sewer customers submitting plans for change of use, a balance of \$2,000 will be required. For a single family dwelling connection, a balance of \$4,000 will be required. All other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIR: All right. MR. CZEKANSKI: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Do we need to do we need to make a motion to pass that separately from the (inaudible)? THE SECRETARY: Make a motion that may have amend MR. HASTIE: We amend that rate schedule to reflect that line. CHAIR: Okay. All right. And we have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Can you explain the race to be adjusted for the developer application fee and inspection fee schedule. MR. REDWOOD: The S1 application fee for all applicants is proposed to be \$35. The S1 initial review fees will establish the escrow amount minimum for the development as follows. For an existing sewer customers submitting plans for change of use, a balance of \$2,000 will be required. For a single family dwelling connection, a balance of \$4,000 will be required. All other applications of balance of \$5,000 will be required.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIR: All right. MR. CZEKANSKI: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Do we need to do we need to make a motion to pass that separately from the (inaudible)? THE SECRETARY: Make a motion that may have amend MR. HASTIE: We amend that rate schedule to reflect that line. CHAIR: Okay. All right. And we have a second. All in favor?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Can you explain the race to be adjusted for the developer application fee and inspection fee schedule. MR. REDWOOD: The S1 application fee for all applicants is proposed to be \$35. The S1 initial review fees will establish the escrow amount minimum for the development as follows. For an existing sewer customers submitting plans for change of use, a balance of \$2,000 will be required. For a single family dwelling connection, a balance of \$4,000 will be required. All other applications of balance of \$5,000 will be required. The S3 application fees are to be adjusted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIR: All right. MR. CZEKANSKI: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Do we need to — do we need to make a motion to pass that separately from the (inaudible)? THE SECRETARY: Make a motion that may have amend — MR. HASTIE: We amend that rate schedule to reflect that line. CHAIR: Okay. All right. And we have a second. All in favor? All: All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Can you explain the race to be adjusted for the developer application fee and inspection fee schedule. MR. REDWOOD: The S1 application fee for all applicants is proposed to be \$35. The S1 initial review fees will establish the escrow amount minimum for the development as follows. For an existing sewer customers submitting plans for change of use, a balance of \$2,000 will be required. For a single family dwelling connection, a balance of \$4,000 will be required. All other applications of balance of \$5,000 will be required. The S3 application fees are to be adjusted to remove escrow costs per foot of sewer lines and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIR: All right. MR. CZEKANSKI: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Do we need to do we need to make a motion to pass that separately from the (inaudible)? THE SECRETARY: Make a motion that may have amend MR. HASTIE: We amend that rate schedule to reflect that line. CHAIR: Okay. All right. And we have a second. All in favor? All: All right. CHAIR: Do we need a roll call or anything for that? VICE CHAIR: (Inaudible).	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Can you explain the race to be adjusted for the developer application fee and inspection fee schedule. MR. REDWOOD: The S1 application fee for all applicants is proposed to be \$35. The S1 initial review fees will establish the escrow amount minimum for the development as follows. For an existing sewer customers submitting plans for change of use, a balance of \$2,000 will be required. For a single family dwelling connection, a balance of \$4,000 will be required. All other applications of balance of \$5,000 will be required. The S3 application fees are to be adjusted to remove escrow costs per foot of sewer lines and require the S1 escrow minimums to be maintained.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIR: All right. MR. CZEKANSKI: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Do we need to — do we need to make a motion to pass that separately from the (inaudible)? THE SECRETARY: Make a motion that may have amend — MR. HASTIE: We amend that rate schedule to reflect that line. CHAIR: Okay. All right. And we have a second. All in favor? All: All right. CHAIR: Do we need a roll call or anything for that? VICE CHAIR: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Okay. Nickers on, okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Can you explain the race to be adjusted for the developer application fee and inspection fee schedule. MR. REDWOOD: The S1 application fee for all applicants is proposed to be \$35. The S1 initial review fees will establish the escrow amount minimum for the development as follows. For an existing sewer customers submitting plans for change of use, a balance of \$2,000 will be required. For a single family dwelling connection, a balance of \$4,000 will be required. All other applications of balance of \$5,000 will be required. The S3 application fees are to be adjusted to remove escrow costs per foot of sewer lines and require the S1 escrow minimums to be maintained. \$2,000 for a change of use, \$4,000 for single family
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIR: All right. MR. CZEKANSKI: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Do we need to do we need to make a motion to pass that separately from the (inaudible)? THE SECRETARY: Make a motion that may have amend MR. HASTIE: We amend that rate schedule to reflect that line. CHAIR: Okay. All right. And we have a second. All in favor? All: All right. CHAIR: Do we need a roll call or anything for that? VICE CHAIR: (Inaudible).	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Can you explain the race to be adjusted for the developer application fee and inspection fee schedule. MR. REDWOOD: The S1 application fee for all applicants is proposed to be \$35. The S1 initial review fees will establish the escrow amount minimum for the development as follows. For an existing sewer customers submitting plans for change of use, a balance of \$2,000 will be required. For a single family dwelling connection, a balance of \$4,000 will be required. All other applications of balance of \$5,000 will be required. The S3 application fees are to be adjusted to remove escrow costs per foot of sewer lines and require the S1 escrow minimums to be maintained. \$2,000 for a change of use, \$4,000 for single family dwelling connection and \$5,000 for all other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIR: All right. MR. CZEKANSKI: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Do we need to — do we need to make a motion to pass that separately from the (inaudible)? THE SECRETARY: Make a motion that may have amend — MR. HASTIE: We amend that rate schedule to reflect that line. CHAIR: Okay. All right. And we have a second. All in favor? All: All right. CHAIR: Do we need a roll call or anything for that? VICE CHAIR: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Okay. Nickers on, okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Can you explain the race to be adjusted for the developer application fee and inspection fee schedule. MR. REDWOOD: The S1 application fee for all applicants is proposed to be \$35. The S1 initial review fees will establish the escrow amount minimum for the development as follows. For an existing sewer customers submitting plans for change of use, a balance of \$2,000 will be required. For a single family dwelling connection, a balance of \$4,000 will be required. All other applications of balance of \$5,000 will be required. The S3 application fees are to be adjusted to remove escrow costs per foot of sewer lines and require the S1 escrow minimums to be maintained. \$2,000 for a change of use, \$4,000 for single family dwelling connection and \$5,000 for all other applications.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIR: All right. MR. CZEKANSKI: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Do we need to — do we need to make a motion to pass that separately from the (inaudible)? THE SECRETARY: Make a motion that may have amend — MR. HASTIE: We amend that rate schedule to reflect that line. CHAIR: Okay. All right. And we have a second. All in favor? All: All right. CHAIR: Do we need a roll call or anything for that? VICE CHAIR: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Okay. Nickers on, okay. MR. HASTIE: We can take a roll call just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Can you explain the race to be adjusted for the developer application fee and inspection fee schedule. MR. REDWOOD: The S1 application fee for all applicants is proposed to be \$35. The S1 initial review fees will establish the escrow amount minimum for the development as follows. For an existing sewer customers submitting plans for change of use, a balance of \$2,000 will be required. For a single family dwelling connection, a balance of \$4,000 will be required. All other applications of balance of \$5,000 will be required. The S3 application fees are to be adjusted to remove escrow costs per foot of sewer lines and require the S1 escrow minimums to be maintained. \$2,000 for a change of use, \$4,000 for single family dwelling connection and \$5,000 for all other applications. MR. HASTIE: I've nothing further for Mr.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIR: All right. MR. CZEKANSKI: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Do we need to do we need to make a motion to pass that separately from the (inaudible)? THE SECRETARY: Make a motion that may have amend MR. HASTIE: We amend that rate schedule to reflect that line. CHAIR: Okay. All right. And we have a second. All in favor? All: All right. CHAIR: Do we need a roll call or anything for that? VICE CHAIR: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Okay. Nickers on, okay. MR. HASTIE: We can take a roll call just so the record is clear.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Can you explain the race to be adjusted for the developer application fee and inspection fee schedule. MR. REDWOOD: The S1 application fee for all applicants is proposed to be \$35. The S1 initial review fees will establish the escrow amount minimum for the development as follows. For an existing sewer customers submitting plans for change of use, a balance of \$2,000 will be required. For a single family dwelling connection, a balance of \$4,000 will be required. All other applications of balance of \$5,000 will be required. The S3 application fees are to be adjusted to remove escrow costs per foot of sewer lines and require the S1 escrow minimums to be maintained. \$2,000 for a change of use, \$4,000 for single family dwelling connection and \$5,000 for all other applications. MR. HASTIE: I've nothing further for Mr. Redwood. Are there any other members of the public who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIR: All right. MR. CZEKANSKI: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Do we need to — do we need to make a motion to pass that separately from the (inaudible)? THE SECRETARY: Make a motion that may have amend — MR. HASTIE: We amend that rate schedule to reflect that line. CHAIR: Okay. All right. And we have a second. All in favor? All: All right. CHAIR: Do we need a roll call or anything for that? VICE CHAIR: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Okay. Nickers on, okay. MR. HASTIE: We can take a roll call just so the record is clear. CHAIR: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Can you explain the race to be adjusted for the developer application fee and inspection fee schedule. MR. REDWOOD: The S1 application fee for all applicants is proposed to be \$35. The S1 initial review fees will establish the escrow amount minimum for the development as follows. For an existing sewer customers submitting plans for change of use, a balance of \$2,000 will be required. For a single family dwelling connection, a balance of \$4,000 will be required. All other applications of balance of \$5,000 will be required. The S3 application fees are to be adjusted to remove escrow costs per foot of sewer lines and require the S1 escrow minimums to be maintained. \$2,000 for a change of use, \$4,000 for single family dwelling connection and \$5,000 for all other applications. MR. HASTIE: I've nothing further for Mr.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIR: All right. MR. CZEKANSKI: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Do we need to — do we need to make a motion to pass that separately from the (inaudible)? THE SECRETARY: Make a motion that may have amend — MR. HASTIE: We amend that rate schedule to reflect that line. CHAIR: Okay. All right. And we have a second. All in favor? All: All right. CHAIR: Do we need a roll call or anything for that? VICE CHAIR: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Okay. Nickers on, okay. MR. HASTIE: We can take a roll call just so the record is clear. CHAIR: Okay. MR. HASTIE: And then we'll know what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Can you explain the race to be adjusted for the developer application fee and inspection fee schedule. MR. REDWOOD: The S1 application fee for all applicants is proposed to be \$35. The S1 initial review fees will establish the escrow amount minimum for the development as follows. For an existing sewer customers submitting plans for change of use, a balance of \$2,000 will be required. For a single family dwelling connection, a balance of \$4,000 will be required. All other applications of balance of \$5,000 will be required. The S3 application fees are to be adjusted to remove escrow costs per foot of sewer lines and require the S1 escrow minimums to be maintained. \$2,000 for a change of use, \$4,000 for single family dwelling connection and \$5,000 for all other applications. MR. HASTIE: I've nothing further for Mr. Redwood. Are there any other members of the public who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIR: All right. MR. CZEKANSKI: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Do we need to — do we need to make a motion to pass that separately from the (inaudible)? THE SECRETARY: Make a motion that may have amend — MR. HASTIE: We amend that rate schedule to reflect that line. CHAIR: Okay. All right. And we have a second. All in favor? All: All right. CHAIR: Do we need a roll call or anything for that? VICE CHAIR: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Okay. Nickers on, okay. MR. HASTIE: We can take a roll call just so the record is clear. CHAIR: Okay. MR. HASTIE: And then we'll know what we're voting on when we vote.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Can you explain the race to be adjusted for the developer application fee and inspection fee schedule. MR. REDWOOD: The S1 application fee for all applicants is proposed to be \$35. The S1 initial review fees will establish the escrow amount minimum for the development as follows. For an existing sewer customers submitting plans for change of use, a balance of \$2,000 will be required. For a single family dwelling connection, a balance of \$4,000 will be required. All other applications of balance of \$5,000 will be required. The S3 application fees are to be adjusted to remove escrow costs per foot of sewer lines and require the S1 escrow minimums to be maintained. \$2,000 for a change of use, \$4,000 for single family dwelling connection and \$5,000 for all other applications. MR. HASTIE: I've nothing further for Mr. Redwood. Are there any other members of the public who wish to ask Mr. Redwood any questions? If so, again,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIR: All right. MR. CZEKANSKI: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Do we need to — do we need to make a motion to pass that separately from the (inaudible)? THE SECRETARY: Make a motion that may have amend — MR. HASTIE: We amend that rate schedule to reflect that line. CHAIR: Okay. All right. And we have a second. All in favor? All: All right. CHAIR: Do we need a roll call or anything for that? VICE CHAIR: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Okay. Nickers on, okay. MR. HASTIE: We can take a roll call just so the record is clear. CHAIR: Okay. MR. HASTIE: And then we'll know what we're voting on when we vote. CHAIR: Yes. Okay. Let's do roll call	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Can you explain the race to be adjusted for the developer application fee and inspection fee schedule. MR. REDWOOD: The S1 application fee for all applicants is proposed to be \$35. The S1 initial review fees will establish the escrow amount minimum for the development as follows. For an existing sewer customers submitting plans for change of use, a balance of \$2,000 will be required. For a single family dwelling connection, a balance of \$4,000 will be required. All other applications of balance of \$5,000 will be required. The S3 application fees are to be adjusted to remove escrow costs per foot of sewer lines and require the S1 escrow minimums to be maintained. \$2,000 for a change of use, \$4,000 for single family dwelling connection and \$5,000 for all other applications. MR. HASTIE: I've nothing further for Mr. Redwood. Are there any other members of the public who wish to ask Mr. Redwood any questions? If so, again, please state your name.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIR: All right. MR. CZEKANSKI: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Do we need to — do we need to make a motion to pass that separately from the (inaudible)? THE SECRETARY: Make a motion that may have amend — MR. HASTIE: We amend that rate schedule to reflect that line. CHAIR: Okay. All right. And we have a second. All in favor? All: All right. CHAIR: Do we need a roll call or anything for that? VICE CHAIR: (Inaudible). CHAIR: Okay. Nickers on, okay. MR. HASTIE: We can take a roll call just so the record is clear. CHAIR: Okay. MR. HASTIE: And then we'll know what we're voting on when we vote. CHAIR: Yes. Okay. Let's do roll call then on that motion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Can you explain the race to be adjusted for the developer application fee and inspection fee schedule. MR. REDWOOD: The S1 application fee for all applicants is proposed to be \$35. The S1 initial review fees will establish the escrow amount minimum for the development as follows. For an existing sewer customers submitting plans for change of use, a balance of \$2,000 will be required. For a single family dwelling connection, a balance of \$4,000 will be required. All other applications of balance of \$5,000 will be required. The S3 application fees are to be adjusted to remove escrow costs per foot of sewer lines and require the S1 escrow minimums to be maintained. \$2,000 for a change of use, \$4,000 for single family dwelling connection and \$5,000 for all other applications. MR. HASTIE: I've nothing further for Mr. Redwood. Are there any other members of the public who wish to ask Mr. Redwood any questions? If so, again, please state your name. Madam Chair, I see no one wishing to make



RVE HQ: | 2059 Springdale Road Cherry Hill, NJ 08003 O: (856) 795-9595 F: (856) 795-1882

BORDENTOWN SEWERAGE AUTHORITY ENGINEER'S STATUS REPORT FOR NOVEMBER 15, 2021, MEETING PREPARED BY REMINGTON & VERNICK (RVE) ENGINEERS

AUTHORITY CONVEYANCE SYSTEM TOPICS

- Nissim Development: (Behind Goodwill Store on Route 206 near Hamilton Circle):
 Sylvan Glen Pump Station upgrading work is expected to commence this year.
- Rising Sun Meadows: Corner of Route 130 and Rising Sun Road: On-site construction is commencing. Off-site improvement design work is not yet finalized.
- Farnsworth Avenue CVS and Office Building: Proposed development is integrated into the McDonalds site. Project is in the construction stage.
- NJDOT Barracks (RT 130 & Dunns Mill Road): The project is close to receiving S3
 design approval. The recapture fee must be paid prior to our approval
 recommendation being issued.
- Dunns Mill Road Warehouse: Site work may be commencing but the project is not expected to receive a S3 design approval recommendation until December 1st since the EPA waiver was recently submitted.
- Route 130 Point Apartments: A successful post construction video of the sewer main system was conducted last Friday.

TREATMENT PLANT AND ADMINISTRATIVE TOPICS

- Plant Discharge Permit: Permit application has been confirmed as being received by the NJDEP for their review and draft permit generation.
- Oxidation Tank Shaft Replacement: The shop drawings have still not been received to date.
- 20 Year Capital Improvement Plan: RVE provided an initial outline of facilities considered for future replacement to the BSA for review.
- BSA Rules/Regs: RVE provided draft revisions of the BSA Rules and Regulations.
- . Annual Trustee Report: RVE generated and submitted the report last month.

MAINTENANCE BOND SUMMARY

Expiration Dates are Subject to Maintenance Bond Date and Resolution of all Conditions:

- 2020 Roof Replacements (B2, B4, EB1, PS2): 5/21/2022
- Tractor Supply Manhole Penetration: 6/10/2022

PREPARED BY RICHARD B. CZEKANSKI, PE, BCEE, CME